

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,352	08/19/2003		Derek Metcalf	38949/282196 3810	
23370	7590	12/22/2005	EXAMINER		
JOHN S. P. KILPATRIC	•	•	NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE		
1100 PEAC		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 3030)9	3634		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/643,352	METCALF, DEREK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 (October 2005.						
·	s action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
· ··	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are objected to.	- · · · - · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the application filed August 19, 2003 and the election filed October 7, 2005.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, i.e., claims 13-16 and 18-20, and species (b), i.e., Figures 11-13 with claims 13-16 readable thereon, in the reply filed on October 7, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "Applicant submits that examination of the claims of the entire application will not impose undue burden". This is not found persuasive because applicant has made a general allegation without stating how there would not be a lack of serious burden if the restriction were not made.

With respect to applicant's statement that that "claim 13 is generic to Figures 1-10 and 11-13 and that therefore the election requirement is improper" is not well-taken. *In particular*, because a claim was not originally deemed to be generic, does not make the election requirement improper. Applicant is now stating that claim 13 is generic to which the examiner agrees.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-14, 17, and 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of the phrase "may be" in line 6 of claim 13 renders the claim indefinite since what "may be" to one "may not be" to another. *Thus*, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be properly ascertained since one would not know whether the recitation proceeding the phrase "may be" is being positively required or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,142,321 (West '321).

West '321 discloses an adjustable shelf assembly comprising a central shelf unit (right side of Figure 1) comprising a top surface, a front edge, a rear edge, a first side edge and a second side edge; a first extension shelf unit (shown in Figure 3) slidably arranged with respect to the central shelf unit such that the width of the assembly may be increased by extending and

Art Unit: 3634

decreased by retracting the first extension unit with respect to the central shelf unit; the first extension shelf unit (see Figure 3) having a support surface, a front edge (left front of Figure 3), a rear edge (right rear of Figure 3), a proximate side (right of Figure 3, near 164) and a distal side (left side of Figure 3, near 174) which is located distal to the central unit; a front member secured to the front edge of the central unit having an upper lip and a lower lip (as at 424 and 426 in Figure 4A); wherein the upper and lower lips (424, 426) are formed into channels (420 and 422) permitting the insertion of a flexible member.

t is noted that the flexible member (recited in the last 2 lines of claim 13) is not being positively claimed. Thus, a reference need only be capable of being used with such an element in order to meet the language of the claim and West '321 therefore meets this limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is 571-272-6832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,352 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard E. Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jenmfer E. Novosad Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

December 19, 2005